

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANAAT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M- 5340 of 2015(O&M)

Date of Decision: February 19, 2015.

Gayatri and another

..... PETITIONER (s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... RESPONDENT (s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Petitioners in person with
Mr. Ankur Malik, Advocate
for the petitioners.

LISA GILL, J.

The present petition has been filed by the petitioners for protection of their life and liberty as they apprehend danger at the hands of respondents No.4 to 7 and other relatives because they are stated to have contracted marriage between themselves on 11.02.2015 against the wishes of the said respondents. Both the petitioners are present in Court and on being asked submit that they have contracted marriage between themselves out of their free will and volition.

It is contended that the petitioners are major, their dates of birth being 10.07.1995 and 14.01.1988, respectively. True copies of Adhaar Card and Matriculation Examination certificate of petitioner No.2 have been appended with this petition. Only affidavit of petitioner No.1 has been appended with this petition in support of the date of birth of petitioner No.1 as

it is stated that she do not have any documentary proof regarding her age as they are on the run and the same are in possession of her parents. A copy of the Marriage Certificate and the photographs of the marriage are also placed on record as Annexures P4 and P5. Petitioner No.1 is stated to have submitted a representation dated 09.02.2015 (Annexure P6) to respondent No.2 i.e., the Superintendent of Police, Fatehabad for redressal of their grievance.

Notice of motion to the Advocate General, Haryana.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Pawan Girdhar, Additional Advocate General, Haryana who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3. Two copies of the petition have been handed over to learned State counsel.

Without commenting upon the age of the petitioners or the validity of their marriage or the validity and genuineness of the certificates appended with the petition, respondent No.2 – Superintendent of Police, Fatehabad is directed to decide representation dated 09.02.2015 submitted by petitioner No.1 and take appropriate action keeping in view the threat perception to the petitioners.

It is, however, made clear that this order shall have no effect on the validity of the alleged marriage of the petitioners and neither will any other civil or criminal proceedings initiated against the petitioners be barred due to this order.

Petition is disposed of accordingly.

February 19, 2015.

'om'

(LISA GILL)
JUDGE