

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-5320-2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision: August 13, 2015

Kunal Ghai

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present: Mr.Sangram Singh, Advocate for
Mr.Sumeet Goel, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.K.S.Pannu, DAG, Punjab.

Mr.Sanjeev Kumar, Advocate for
Mr.Munish Puri, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

.....

1. ***Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?***
2. ***To be referred to the Reporters or not ?***
3. ***Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?***

Naresh Kumar Sanghi, J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 438, Cr.P.C.,
is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, Kunal Ghai, who
has been booked for having committed the offences punishable
under Sections 120-B, 406 and 498-A, IPC, and Section 6(2) of

Dowry Prohibition Act, in a case arising out of FIR No.76, dated 10.09.2014, registered at Police Station, Division No.1, Pathankot.

Learned proxy counsel for the petitioner at the very outset submits that the present case was referred to Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court so that the private parties may resolve their matrimonial dispute and effect a compromise. The private parties have sorted out their dispute and have arrived at a settlement, which is available on record. He further submits that the petitioner shall abide by all the terms and conditions of settlement arrived at between the private parties. He further submits that in view of the said settlement the petitioner may be granted the benefit of anticipatory bail.

Learned counsel for the State as well as the learned counsel for respondent No.2 -informant is also agreeable with the submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner that in view of the settlement arrived at between the private parties, the present petition be accepted and the petitioner be granted the benefit of anticipatory bail.

In view of the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case, ad-interim anticipatory bail granted to the petitioner vide order, dated 21.02.2015, is made absolute.

The petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 438(2), Cr.P.C.

August 13, 2015
meenu

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE