

CrI. Misc. No. M-5272 of 2015

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CrI. Misc. No. M-5272 of 2015

Date of decision: 27.04.2015

Bijender @ Vijender Shokeen and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH

- 1) Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
- 2) To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
- 3) Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: - Mr. Sandeep Singh, Advocate, for the petitioners.
Mr. Anil Mehta, DAG, Haryana.
Mr. Suvir Sidhu, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

PARAMJEET SINGH, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed by the petitioners under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, seeking quashing of case FIR No.6 dated 24.01.2013 registered under Sections 498-A/406/506/323/34 IPC at Police Station Women Police Station, on the basis of compromise/settlement dated 29.03.2014 (Annexure P-2) before the Counselling Cell of Tis Hazari Court, Delhi.

On 18.02.2015 notice of motion was issued and parties were directed to appear before the trial Court to get their statements recorded with regard to compromise/settlement and trial Court was directed to send the report.

In pursuance of order dated 18.02.2015, learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Sonipat, has submitted its report, which indicates

Crl. Misc. No. M-5272 of 2015

that parties appeared before the Court and got recorded their respective statements with regard to validity of compromise. As per the report, compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure or coercion from any corner. Now no dispute survives between the parties.

In pursuance of compromise, demand draft of ₹ 8,00,000/- has been handed over to respondent No.2, who is present in Court in person. Photocopy of demand draft No.882611 dated 10.04.2015 is taken on record. Parties shall remain bound by the terms of the compromise.

Consequently, in view of the compromise and keeping in view the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Madan Mohan Abbot v. State of Punjab, 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 429, Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543, Narinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another, 2014(2) RCR (Criminal) 482*** and Full Bench judgment of this Court in the case of ***Kulwinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007 (3) RCR (Crl.) 1052***, no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation, especially when this case does not fall within the category of exceptional cases where this Court should not exercise its inherent jurisdictional power to quash the criminal proceedings, as held in ***Gian Singh's*** case (supra). In the facts and circumstances of this case it would be unfair or contrary to the interest of justice to continue with the criminal proceedings or continuation of the criminal proceedings would amount to abuse of process of law despite settlement and compromise between the victim and wrongdoer and to secure the ends of justice,

Crl. Misc. No. M-5272 of 2015

therefore, it is appropriate that criminal case is put to an end.

The present petition is allowed. FIR No.6 dated 24.01.2013 registered under Sections 498-A/406/506/323/34 IPC at Police Station Women Police Station, on the basis of compromise/settlement dated 29.03.2014 (Annexure P-2), along with all consequential proceedings arising out of it, on the basis of compromise, is quashed.

It is, however, made clear that if the proceedings already stand concluded and conviction recorded in the present case, this order shall be treated non est and, thus, will have no bearing on the conviction and sentence order.

(Paramjeet Singh)
Judge

April 27, 2015
R.S.