

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRR No. 922-2011 (O&M)

Date of Decision: August 21, 2015

Khem Chand & anr.

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present: Mr. Robin Dutt, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Harkesh Kr. Yadav, Asstt. A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Kuldeep Tiwari, Advocate,
for the informant.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J.(ORAL)

After arguing the matter for some time, learned counsel for the petitioners prays for withdrawal of the petition, to enable the petitioners to take all the pleas before the learned trial Court at appropriate stage.

Learned State counsel has no objection to the above prayer.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.

August 21, 2015
PA

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE