

233

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M-4975-2015

Date of Decision: September 10, 2015.

**ASHOK CHUGH AND ANRPETITIONERS
VERSUS
STATE OF HARYANA AND ANRRESPONDENTS**

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present: Mr.Abhimanyu Singh,Advocate
for the petitioners

Mr.Kuldeep Tiwari, Addl. A.G., Haryana

Mr.Sudhir Rana, Advocate
for respondent No.2

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 CrPC, for quashing of FIR No.233 dated 17.04.2014, for the offences punishable under Sections 406,494,498-A and 506 read with Section 34 IPC, registered at Police Station, Sector 10-A, Gurgaon and consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2).

Vide order dated 16.02.2015, the affected parties were directed to appear before the learned Trial Court for getting their respective statements recorded with regard to the compromise. The said Court was also directed to record its satisfaction to know the genuineness of the statements to be suffered by the parties. The learned Trial Court was further directed to send a detailed report along with statements of the parties before this Court.

In compliance of the above the petitioners as well as respondent No.2-informant Deepti Chugh did appear before the Court below and got their statements recorded with regard to the compromise.

In her statement, Deepti Chugh respondent No.2 deposed that at her behest the impugned FIR was registered and thereafter she has effected a compromise with her husband and others and also stated that after the compromise she was residing with her husband at her matrimonial house. She further stated that she had no objection if the FIR was quashed.

Similar joint statements have been suffered by both the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the respondent No.2-informant submits that he has instructions to state that Deepti Chugh is happily residing with her husband at her in-laws house, therefore, she has no objection if the impugned FIR and consequential proceedings are quashed on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2).

Learned State counsel, on instructions from Head Constable Govind Vallabh, Police Station, Section 10-A, Gurgaon also fairly admits the factum of compromise of the matrimonial dispute and has no objection if the impugned FIR and consequential proceedings are quashed. After hearing learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the ratio of judgment delivered by Hon'ble the Supreme Court in "B.S.Joshi and others vs. State of Haryana and another 2003(2) RCR(CrL.)888", the FIR No.233 dated 17.04.2014 for the offences punishable under Sections 406,494,498-A and 506 read with Section 34 IPC, registered at Police Station, Sector 10-A, Gurgaon, and consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed.

September 10, 2015
TSG

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE