

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-4960-2014

Date of Decision: October 16, 2015

Ratti Khan

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present: Mr. Minkal Rawal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sanjay Kumar, AAG, Haryana,
for the respondents.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J (Oral)

Learned counsel for the State after taking instructions from HC Jai Singh of Police Station, Tauru, District Mewat, submits that two policemen have been deputed at the house of the petitioner for his protection. He further submits that out of nine nominated accused, four have been arrested and remaining five have been declared as proclaimed offenders. The prosecution would file the charge-sheet (challan) at the earliest.

In view of above, learned counsel for the petitioner
does not press this petition at this stage.

Ordered accordingly.

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE

October 16, 2015
P Kapoor