

207

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.4844 of 2015
Date of Decision: 23.03.2015**

SAHID

.....Petitioner

Vs

STATE OF HARYANA

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Rohit Rana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh K. Sheoran, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.

Status report filed by way of affidavit on behalf of respondent-State of Haryana is taken on record.

Petitioner seeks grant of regular bail under Section 439 Cr.P.C in case bearing FIR No.136 dated 14.04.2014 under Section 392 IPC, Police Station Saran, District Faridabad.

The FIR came to be registered on the statement of Vinod Yadav who stated that he is a driver of three-wheeler belonging to Taslima on daily basis. On 13.04.2014 the said vehicle was hired by young boy and when he reached at a place as told by the said boy,

another boy was found standing who had tied handkerchief on his face. The boy sitting in the auto made the said boy to sit in the vehicle and asked the complainant to take them back to Sector 3. When the complainant was crossing Sainik Colony, then one of the boy caught hold of his neck from behind and on account of that complainant lost control over the vehicle. The boy took control of the vehicle and took out the keys and made the vehicle to halt. Thereafter both the boys took out mobile phone of the complainant and pushed him out of the vehicle.

With the aforesaid background FIR in question came to be registered.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that during the course of investigation, petitioner was arrested on 26.12.2014 on the disclosure statement of co-accused Asgar. According to the statement of co-accused, petitioner was one of the occupants of the vehicle with one Jaikum. The auto in question is shown to have been recovered from Jaikum, who left the vehicle on seeing the police and ran away. Jaikum is still at large, whereas Asgar has been granted regular bail in **CRM-M No.24342 of 2014** vide order dated 27.08.2014 passed by this Court.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further states that the recoveries had already been effected and after filing of the challan four of the prosecution witnesses have been examined. Trial may take sometime to conclude.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, it will be just and expedient to grant benefit of regular bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, this petition is allowed.

Let the petitioner be enlarged on bail, subject to furnishing adequate bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court.

Nothing expressed hereinabove would be construed to be an expression of any opinion on merits of the case.

March 23, 2015.

Atik

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE