

**257 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-4856 of 2014

Date of Decision: February 05, 2015

Sukhchain Singh

.... Petitioner

vs.

Harpreet Kaur and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. A.S. Khaira, Advocate for the petitioner.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Impugned in the present petition is the order dated 31.08.2012 passed by the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Tarn Taran, vide which in the pending application under Section 125 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, ₹2,000/- per month interim maintenance to the wife and ₹2,000/- per month to the minor child, namely Sandeep Kaur were allowed.

The aforesaid order was unsuccessfully challenged before the learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Tarn Taran.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and have also carefully gone through the case file.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is a small time vegetable vendor and cannot pay the amount of interim maintenance.

I am of the view that vegetable vendor can earn enough money to pay this much interim maintenance. The order has already been examined in the revision. It is only interim arrangement. Therefore, there is no ground to interfere in the impugned orders.

Hence, dismissed.

February 05, 2015
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE