

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(240)

CRM-M-4693-2015

Decided on: September 04, 2015.

Jasbir Kaur

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Ms. G.K. Mann, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Harpreet Kaur Athwal, DAG, Punjab.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

Petitioner has been in custody w.e.f. March, 2014. Petitioner is wife of Surinder Singh who, in connivance with Sahib Singh, had, with an objective to claim the benefit of life insurance policies, killed two labourers by setting on fire a car in which they were taken in a drunkered condition. Active participation of the petitioner in identifying the dead bodies as the bodies of her husband and Sahib Singh with an objective to make a false claim of the death injuries, is there.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has vehemently contended that the alleged disclosure statement of the petitioner is not admissible and co-accused of the petitioner has been granted concession of bail.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

The co-accused, who has been granted regular bail, is the daughter-in-law of the petitioner. The role attributed to the petitioner is

distinguishable from that of said co-accused. The husband of the petitioner is an absconder till date. No ground is made out for grant of bail to the petitioner.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the petition and has prayed that a direction be issued to the trial Court for expeditious disposal of the trial.

Disposed of as withdrawn without prejudice to the right of the petitioner to make a request to the trial Court for expeditious disposal of the case. In case, any such request is made, the trial Court shall make earnest endeavour to decide the case expeditiously preferably within a period of six months.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

September 04, 2015
harsha