

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-4665 of 2015

Date of decision: 08.04.2015

Jai Lal

-----Petitioner (s)

V/s

State of Haryana

-----Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. P.K. Ganga, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Neelam Kashyap, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. S.S. Sahu, Advocate for the complainant.

HARI PAL VERMA, J(Oral).

Prayer in this petition is grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 153, dated 14.08.2014, for offence punishable under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468, and 471 IPC, registered at Police Station, Nathusari Chopta, District-Sirsa.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner is merely a *Sarpanch* of the village and has put his signatures in the routine manner and he is not a beneficiary.

On the other hand, learned counsel for the complainant as well as learned State counsel state that the petitioner had not only signed one paper, rather numbers of documents including ration card, affidavit, sale deed and death certificate. Therefore, signing of numerous documents has given an impression that the petitioner has the intention to commit the aforesaid offence.

In view of the above, no ground is made out for anticipatory bail.

Dismissed.

However, it is made clear that this order may not be construed an expression of opinion on the merits of the case and the present observation is only for the purpose of deciding the present bail application.

April 08, 2015

Anjal

**(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE**