

109 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-4631 of 2015
Date of Decision: 11.2.2015**

Anju Rani and another

....Petitioners

vs.

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Petitioners in person with their counsel
 Mr. S.K. Gupta, Advocate.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgement should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J. (Oral)

Petitioners, who are stated to be major, claim that they have married against the wishes of their parents on 9.2.2015. The photographs of the marriage have been placed on file as Annexure P-4. True copies of Adhaar Card showing the date of birth of petitioner No. 1 and passport showing the date of birth of petitioner No. 2 have been placed on file as Annexures P-1 and P-2. Marriage certificate has also been placed on file as Annexure P-3.

It is claimed that the petitioners have moved an application dated 9.2.2015 (Annexure P-5) before the Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala, for protecting their life and liberty as they apprehend danger to their life and liberty from the private

respondents.

In these circumstances, petition is disposed of with a direction to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala to consider the application dated 9.2.2015 (Annexure P-5) of the petitioners and assess the threat perception attributed to the life and liberty to the petitioners, necessary steps be taken to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners.

However, it is made clear that this order shall not be taken to be the proof of valid marriage.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

11.2.2015
Ishant