

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM M. No. 453 of 2015
Decided on : 19 . 01. 2015**

Monu

... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

... Respondents

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. C. PURI

Present : Mr. S.P.Chahar, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Rajesh K.Sheoran, Additional AG, Haryana

K. C. PURI, J.

Notice of this petition has already been sent to the State.

Monu-petitioner has applied directed the present application under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (in short – Cr.P.C.) for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.111 dated 28.03.2014 under Sections 392, 394, 397, 420 (in short – the IPC) registered at Police Station Sadar, Gohana District Sonipat.

2. As per allegations of the prosecution, present petitioner-accused along with Sandeep and Ravinder had snatched Rs.60,000/- and

cell phone from the complainant and it is further alleged that petitioner gave injury with knife. Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that co-accused Sandeep has been allowed concession of bail vide CrI. Misc. No. M 31760 of 2014 decided on 29.9.2014 whereas accused Ravinder has been allowed concession of bail vide Criminal. Misc. No. M 36398 of 2014 decided on 05.11.2014. The petitioner is in custody since 1.4.2014. He has further submitted that according to the prosecution knife injury was given by the petitioner but there is a lacerated wound.

3. The said factual position has not been disputed by the State counsel.

4. So, on the ground of parity, application for grant of bail stands accepted. Bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

January 19 , 2015

SV

**(K. C. PURI)
JUDGE**