

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Criminal Misc. No. M-4468 of 2015

Date of Decision:-20.04.2015

Amandeep Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present:- Mr. Ritesh Pandey, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Gurinderjit Singh, Deputy A.G., Punjab.

HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in case FIR No.160 dated 16.9.2014, under Sections 323, 324, 326, 452, 148 and 149 IPC and under Sections 25/27/54/59 of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station Civil Line, Batala, District Guarasgur.

While issuing notice of motion, following order was passed by this Court on 13.2.2015:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that as per allegations contained in the FIR, petitioner No.1 has not been attributed any injury, petitioner No.2 has been attributed simple injury and the allegation against petitioner No.3 is that he had dragged the complainant.

Issue notice of motion to the respondents, returnable for 20.04.2015.

Meanwhile, petitioners are directed to join the investigation as and when called by the Investigating Officer. In the event of his/their arrest, he/they shall be released on bail by the Investigating Officer on his/their furnishing bail bonds/sureties to his satisfaction, subject to the conditions mentioned below, as envisaged in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. :-

- i. a condition that the person shall make himself/themselves available for interrogation by Police Officer as and when required ;*
- ii. a condition that the person shall not, directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;*
- iii. a condition that the person shall not leave India without the prior permission of the Court.*
- iv. such other condition as may be imposed under sub section (3) of Section 437, as if the bail were granted under that section.”*

Learned State counsel, who is assisted by ASI Balwinder Singh, has stated that in terms of the above order the petitioners have joined investigation and their custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the above, the present petition is allowed and interim directions issued by this Court vide order dated 13.2.2015 are

made absolute.

However, the petitioners shall continue to join investigation as and when required to do so and shall abide by the terms and conditions, as laid down under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

April 20, 2015
Vijay Asija

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE