

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-4493-2014 (O&M)
Date of decision: 06.10.2015**

Chaitanya Madhura & ors.

..... Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and anr.

... Respondents

with

CRM-M-16985-2014 (O&M)

Ashwani Sinha

..... Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and anr.

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Shaurya Sharma, Advocate
for petitioner(s).

Mr. G.S. Salwara, DAG, Haryana.

None for respondent no.2.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.

Above-referred petitions are being disposed of by this common order being connected to each other.

Both these petitions have been filed by the petitioners seeking quashing of FIR No. 317 dated 05.11.2013, registered under Section 354 IPC, Police Station Udyog Vihar, Gurgaon and all other consequent proceedings arising therefrom.

During the pendency of the petitions, it is claimed that the parties have entered into compromise before the Mediation Centre, District Courts, Gurgaon.

Report has been called from the Illaqa Magistrate, after statements of the parties regarding the compromise were recorded. Illaqa Magistrate has reported that the compromise is voluntary and without any pressure or coercion. She has also sent original statements of parties along with the report.

Learned State counsel submits that the parties in both the petitions are the persons involved in the FIR.

No useful purpose would be served to keep the FIR pending.

In view of the statements made by the parties and the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab & Anr. 2007(3) RCR(CrI.) 1052,** approved by Hon'ble the Apex Court in **Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Ors. 2012(10) SCC 303,** the instant petitions are allowed and the aforesaid FIR and all the consequent proceedings conducted therein are quashed.

Needless to say that parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise.

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

06.10.2015
Sunil