

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-44567-2014 (O&M)

Date of decision : 19.02.2015

Ravinderpal Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. SPS Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab,
assisted by HC Rachpal Singh.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

The petitioners seek anticipatory bail in cross case dated 22.02.2012, lodged under Sections 325, 323, 148, 149, 459 of the Indian Penal Code (for short, 'the IPC'), in FIR No.38 dated 22.02.2012, registered under Sections 307, 452, 380, 427, 324, 323, 148, 149 of the Indian Penal Code, at Police Station City Moga.

Heard.

It is contended that the petitioners were earlier enlarged on regular bail. Section 459 IPC, added subsequently, is not made out as the place of occurrence is stated to be the office of the petitioners.

The learned counsel for the petitioners states at Bar that the

ATUL SETHI
2015.02.19 16:55
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Chandigarh

order passed by this Court on 01.01.2015, stands complied with as the petitioners have appeared before the trial Court and have been admitted to interim bail.

In view of the above, without advertng to the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed the the order dated 01.01.2015, passed by this Court, is made absolute.

19.02.2015
atulsehi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE