

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.44398 of 2015
Date of decision : 28.12.2015

Mehak Sharma and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and ors.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment ?
2. To be referred to reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. Rajesh Dhiman, Advocate for petitioners.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Petitioners have filed this petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for issuance of directions to official respondents to protect their life and liberty from the hands of private respondents.

Petitioners claim themselves to be major and have solemnized marriage against the wishes of their parents on 24.12.2015. Factum of marriage has been pleaded with reference to photographs (Annexure P-4). Petitioners feels reasonable apprehension at the hands of private respondents, who were opposing their marriage.

Precisely, for the grievance made in the present petition,

petitioners have already filed a representation (Annexure P-5) before Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar-respondent No.2, but no action has been taken so far.

Accordingly, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar-respondent No.2 is directed to look into the representation made by the petitioners (Annexure P-5) and if required, necessary protection be provided to them if they are not required in any unlawful activity.

Petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

28.12.2015

pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**