

104

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.44295 of 2015
Date of Decision: 28.12.2015

Heena Gill and another

.....Petitioners

Vs

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Vaibhav Sehgal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Petitioners have filed this petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for issuance of directions to official respondents to protect their life and liberty from the hands of private respondents.

Petitioners claim themselves to be major and have solemnized marriage against the wishes of their parents on 23.12.2015. Factum of marriage has been pleaded with reference to marriage certificate and photographs (Annexures P-3 and P-4 respectively). Petitioners feel reasonable apprehension at the hands of private respondents, who were opposing their marriage.

Precisely, for the grievance made in the present petition,

petitioners have already filed a representation before Commissioner of Police, Amritsar-respondent No.2 (Annexure P- 5), but no action has been taken so far.

Notice of motion.

At this stage, Mr. V.P.S. Sidhu, A.A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of State. Let three copies of the petition be supplied to him by learned counsel for the petitioners during the course of the day.

Accordingly, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, Commissioner of Police, Amritsar- respondent No.2 is directed to look into the representation made by the petitioners (Annexure P-5) and if required, necessary protection be provided to them if they are not required in any unlawful activity.

Petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

December 28, 2015
prince

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE