

CRM-M-44368 of 2014
Date of Decision: 08.01.2015

Anand @ Ravi

---Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

---Respondent

Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal

Present: Mr.Kartar Singh Malik-I, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. P.S.Sullar, Addl. A.G. Haryana
for the respondent-State

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

REKHA MITTAL, J.

The petitioner prays for grant of bail in FIR No. 173 dated 6.3.1988, registered in Police Station, City Rohtak, District Rohtak for offence punishable under Sections 420, 468, 471, 170, 472 of the Indian Penal Code.

Counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is in custody since 28.8.2014 and conclusion of trial, in view of supplementary challan presented by the police, is likely to take its own time. It is further submitted that one of the co-accused namely Om Parkash against whom the same allegations have been levelled, has since been acquitted of the offence after trial. The petitioner is ready to face proceedings in accordance with law.

Counsel for the State of Haryana would submit that as the

petitioner stayed away from the proceedings since lodging of FIR in 1988, there is every likelihood of his fleeing from the process of the court.

I have heard counsel for the parties and perused the records.

The petitioner has suffered custody for a period over 04 months. The co-accused in the case namely Om Parkash has already been acquitted of the offence. The same evidence would be led by the prosecution during trial against the petitioner. The offences for which the FIR has been registered are triable by the Magistrate.

Without meaning to express any opinion on merits of the controversy, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Rohtak subject to the following conditions:-

- (i) he shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer; and
- (ii) he shall not leave India without previous permission of the Court.

Petition stands disposed of.

(REKHA MITTAL)
JUDGE

08.01.2015
PARAMJIT