

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

-.-

Crl. Misc. No. M 44360 of 2014
Date of decision: 13.01.2015

Baldev Singh

..... *Petitioner*

Versus

State of Punjab

.....*Respondent*

Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal

-.-

Present: Mr.Jupinder Pal Brar, Advocate for
 Mr. Amandeep Chhabra, Advocate
 for the petitioner

-.-

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Rekha Mittal, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been preferred under section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking grant of regular bail in FIR No. 91 dated 23.09.2013 for offence punishable under Section 376 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code registered in Police Station Baja Khanna, District Faridkot during pendency of trial.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Mr. Sandeep Kumar Bansal, AAG, Punjab accepts notice and would submit that out of 14 witnesses cited by the prosecution, 11 witnesses have already been examined and the trial is likely to be concluded very shortly.

Without meaning to express any opinion on merits of the controversy, as the prosecution evidence is likely to be concluded shortly, I do not think it to be a fit case wherein the petitioner deserves to be enlarged on bail.

Dismissed.

(Rekha Mittal)
Judge

13.01.2015
mohan