

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-44294 of 2014
Date of Decision: 26.05.2015**

Angali Devi and anr.

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and ors.

... Respondents

CORAM:-HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Arshdeep S. Kler, DAG, Punjab

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Reply has been filed on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4-State, according to which statements of respondents No.5 to 7 have been recorded to the effect that they will not interfere in the marriage of petitioners.

Answering respondents have shown their willingness to provide police protection to the petitioners as and when they approach for the same.

In view of aforesaid, since there is no threat perception as on date, no orders are required to be passed.

However, in case petitioners feel any apprehension in future in respect of their life, liberty and property, they may approach respondent No.2 by way of representation. In the

event of filing such representation, respondent No.2 would be obligated to have fair look on the grievance of petitioners and if need be provide necessary police protection in respect of their life, liberty and property.

Disposed of.

May 26, 2015
prince

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE