

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M- 44280 of 2014
Date of Decision: 13.05.2015**

Sunita ... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and ors. ... Respondents

CORAM:-HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Kapil K. Sharma, Advocate
for respondents No.5 and 6.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for respondents No.5 and 6 states that there is no reason to advance any threat to the petitioner. Petitioner was married with respondent No.5 and after demise of younger son, she left the house and now she is living with her brother. Elder son of the petitioner is living with her. Petitioner has prayed issuance of direction to respondents No.1 to 3 to provide adequate security and protection to her from respondents No.4 and 5.

At this stage, this petition can be disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to have a fair look at the grievance of the petitioner. In order to extract truth in the allegations, respondent No.2 would be at liberty to devise fact finding mechanism and in case the allegations of

the petitioner are found to be having worth then the respondent No.2
may resort to any remedial steps including protection and security of
the petitioner in accordance with law.

Disposed of.

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

May 13, 2015
prince