

Sr. No. 242
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

VANDANA VERMA
2015.11.17 10:27

CRM No. M-44247 of 2014
Date of Decision: 16.11.2015

Manjit Singh and another

--Petitioners

Vs

State of Punjab and another

--Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present : Mr. Rajesh Bhatheja, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. D.S.Virk, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Harkaran Singh, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK J. (ORAL)

Admittedly, petitioners have not availed equally efficacious alternative remedy of revision against the impugned summoning order.

In view of the above, petitioners are relegated to their equally efficacious alternative remedy of revision against the impugned summoning order at the first instance, however, with liberty to the petitioners to approach this Court again by way of similar quashing petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C., if necessity arises. It is further made clear that if the petitioners will file appropriate revision against the impugned summoning order within a period of one month from today, respondents shall not raise issue of limitation against the petitioners.

Disposed of accordingly.

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE

16.11.2015
vandana