

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.

Crl.Misc. No.M-698 of 2013
Date of Decision : 1.10.2015

Santosh KumariPetitioner
Vs.

State of Punjab and anotherRespondents
...

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK
...

Present : Mr. Veneet Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Anmol Grewal, AAG, Punjab.
Ms. Satwant Mehta, Advocate for respondent no.2.

...

1. To be referred to the Reporter or not ?
2. Whether the judgement should be reported in Digest ?

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from Gulshan Lal, ASI, Police Station Chheharta, Amritsar, submits that in compliance of the order dated 10.1.2013 passed by this court and also the order dated 14.7.2012 passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate, Ist Class, Amritsar (Annexure P-1), report under Section 202 Cr.P.C has already been presented to the learned court of competent jurisdiction, rendering the present petition as infructuous. This seems to be the reason that after issuance of notice of motion, vide order dated 10.1.2013, none has ever shown any inclination to argue the matter for more than two and half years and the case is pending decision for no good reasons.

In view of the above, present petition is disposed of, however, with liberty to the petitioner to pursue her other remedies, in accordance with law.

1.10.2015
GS

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE