

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

218

CRM-M No.44193 of 2014

Date of Decision:04.02.2015

Satnam Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MEHINDER SINGH SULLAR.

**Present: Mr.M.S.Sodhi, Advocate,
for the petitioner.**

**Ms.Priyanka Sadar, Assistant Advocate General,
Punjab, for the respondent-State.**

MEHINDER SINGH SULLAR, J.(oral)

The petitioner has preferred the instant petition for the grant of concession of regular bail, in a case registered against him along with his other co-accused Billu Singh and others, vide FIR No.60 dated 20.08.2014, on accusation of having committed the offences punishable under Sections 341, 354, 324, 323, 34 IPC(the offence punishable under Section 326 IPC was later on added), by the police of Police Station Jaurkian, District Mansa.

2. Notice of the petition was issued to the State.

3. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties, going through the record with their valuable help and considering the entire matter deeply, to my mind, the present petition for regular bail deserves to be accepted in this context.

4. Precisely, the prosecution, *inter alia*, claimed that on 20.08.2014, the petitioner and his other co-accused have misbehaved with Amarjeet Kaur, wife of complainant-Gurjant Singh and caused injuries to him with their respective weapons. It is not a matter of dispute that, the petitioner was arrested in this case on 07.10.2014. Since then he is in judicial custody and no useful purpose would be served to further detain him in jail. There is no history of his previous involvement in any other criminal case. Moreover, all the offences alleged against the accused are triable by the Court of Magistrate. Since, not even a single witness has yet been examined by the prosecution, so, the conclusion of trial will naturally take a long time.

5. Not only that, Billu Singh, co-accused of the petitioner, was granted the concession of anticipatory bail, by means of order dated 14.11.2014 in CRM No.M-33538 of 2014 by this Court. In that eventuality, I see no reason not to extend the benefit of regular bail to the present petitioner as well.

6. In the light of aforesaid reasons, taking into consideration the peculiar facts & the special circumstances, emanating from the record, as discussed here-in-above and without commenting further anything on merits, lest it may prejudice the case of either side during the course of trial of the main case, the instant petition for regular bail is hereby accepted. The petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing adequate bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Needless to mention that, nothing observed here-in-above,

would reflect, on merits in the trial of the main case, in any manner, as the same has been so recorded for a limited purpose of deciding the present petition for regular bail.

February 04, 2015
seema

(**MEHINDER SINGH SULLAR**)
JUDGE