

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc. No. M-4414 of 2014
Date of Decision:-17.7.2015**

Mohd. Furqan

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- Mr. Bhupinder Singh Thind, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Vijay Lath, Advocate
for respondent No.8.

HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that since the only contesting respondent i.e. respondent No.8 has died, therefore, the present petition does not survive as having become infructuous.

Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

July 17, 2015
Vijay Asija

**(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE**