

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-43989 of 2015.

Decided on:-24.12.2015.

Vikas.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. Sarju Puri, Advocate for the petitioner.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

Notice of motion only to respondents No.1 to 3 at this stage.

On the asking of this Court, Mr. Gurinderjit Singh, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to supply requisite number of copies of complete sets of the paper book to learned State counsel during the course of the day.

Heard.

Though apparently, it seems to be a dispute between tenant and landlord for which the parties have already invoked the civil proceedings, however, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 i.e. SSP, Jalandhar (Rural) to look into the contents of representation dated 16.11.2015 (Annexure P-5) and to take appropriate action in accordance with law.

December 24, 2015
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE