

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Misc. No. M-43866 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision : 24.12.2015**

Tanya Sonkar & Anr.

... Petitioners

versus

State of U.T. Chandigarh & Ors.

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Both the petitioners in person with
Mr. Anil K. Sagar, Advocate.

ANITA CHAUDHRY , J(ORAL)

Copy of marriage certificate is taken on record.

Tanya Sonkar and Vijay Sonkar, the petitioners before me, claim themselves to be major. They have pleaded that they have married against the wishes of respondent Nos. 4 and 5 and seek protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondent nos. 4 and 5. They have placed on record photographs and marriage certificate. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 19.12.2015, Annexure P-4 to respondent no.2.

Without commenting upon the legality of the marriage and entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with directions to respondent no.2 to decide the representation of the petitioners, Annexure P-4 within a period of one month and grant the protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is made clear that this order shall not be taken to protect the petitioners from legal action for violation of law if any committed by them.

**December 24, 2015
Jiten**

**(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE**