

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.**

Date of Decision:-14.08.2015

CRM-M No.43952 of 2014

Harinder.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana.

.....Respondent.

AND

CRM-M No.12852 of 2015

Mohan & Ors.

.....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Haryana.

.....Respondent.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH.

Present:- Mr. N.S. Shekhawat, Advocate for the Petitioner
(in **CRM-M No.43952 of 2014**).

Mr. Balraj Gujjar, Advocate for the Petitioners
(in **CRM-M No.12852 of 2015**).

Mr. Kuldeep Tiwari, DAG Haryana.

JASWANT SINGH, J(ORAL)

This order shall dispose of both the aforementioned Criminal
Miscellaneous petitions filed on behalf of petitioners namely **Harinder** (in
CRM-M No.43952 of 2014) and **Mohan, Rajesh and Manoj** (in **CRM-M**

No.12852 of 2015) since they arise from a common FIR No.327 dated 17.07.2012 under Section 420, 467, 471, 474 of Indian Penal Code registered with Police Station City Yamuna Nagar, District Yamuna Nagar.

As per the allegations Mr. Harinder (petitioner in **CRM-M No.43952 of 2014**) is alleged to have received a sum of Rs.2 lacs each from the three petitioners (in **CRM-M No.12852 of 2015**) namely Mohan, Rajesh and Manoj in order to secure appointment as Conductor with the Haryana Roadways. When the aforesaid three candidates approached the General Manager Haryana Roadways, Yamuna Nagar along with appointment letter dated 17.07.2012 for joining purposes, the antecedents of such were enquired from the head office and on report that they were forged, an FIR was got lodged on the same date by the General Manager. During the investigation the name of Harinder surfaced.

Learned Counsel for the petitioner-Harinder has pointed out that a false case has been foisted since the petitioners who belong to his village are a part of a group against whom eviction proceedings were initialed by the petitioner who otherwise was also the son of the sitting Sarpanch.

Learned Counsel for petitioner(s) in both the petitions states that they have joined investigation and nothing is to be recovered from them.

Learned State Counsel on instructions from SI Balwant Singh states that no doubt the petitioners in both the petitions have joined investigation, however, their interrogation is required to determine the modus of operating, if at all, a racket of issuing such fake appointment letters.

Without commenting on the merits of the case, in the light of the nature of charges, this Court feels that custodial interrogation of the petitioners may be necessary for complete and effective investigation.

In view of the above, both the aforementioned petitions are hereby dismissed.

(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE

August 14, 2015
Vinay