

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA,
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-43948-2014
Decided on : 16.07.2015**

Nitin Garg ... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another ... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NAVITA SINGH

Present : Mr. Deepak Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG, Haryana
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Rajiv Dhawan, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

NAVITA SINGH, J. (Oral)

It is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that there are chances of compromise, whereas, respondent No.2 Shruti wife of the petitioner is present in the Court and submits that there are no chances of compromise.

Counsel for respondent No.2 has also shown copy of order dated 12.06.2015 passed by ACJM, Panipat in a petition under section 125 Cr.P.C., filed by respondent No.2 alongwith her minor daughter, which order shows that the present petitioner who is respondent in that petition was proceeded against *ex parte* on that day as no one had appeared for him.

From the order, it can be construed that the husband was earlier appearing and it is submitted by counsel for respondent

No.2 that after interim relief was granted by this Court in this petition, the respondent did not appear in the other cases.

It is submitted by counsel for the petitioner that before the Investigating Officer the wife had demanded cash. However, ASI Naresh Kumar is present in Court, who submits that nothing such happened at the time of investigation and he is well conversant with the facts of the case. Investigating Officer-Ram Niwas is not present today as he has gone for some training.

In view of the above, no ground for grant of anticipatory bail is made out.

Dismissed.

JULY 16, 2015
Naveen

(NAVITA SINGH)
JUDGE