

202 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No.M-43937 of 2014

Date of Decision: February 16, 2015

Ravi Bawa

.... Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Ranjan Lakhanpal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Arshdeep S. Kler, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

The petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR No.122, dated 30.11.2014, under Sections 376, 420 and 406 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Mulepur, District Fatehgarh Sahib.

The allegations levelled in the FIR are that complainant Beant Kaur was previously married with Parminder Singh, from which she had three children. Parminder Singh died in the year 2009. Thereafter, the complainant came in contact with petitioner Ravi Bawa and on his profusion of promises, she developed physical relations with him. The petitioner represented that he had divorced his wife Kanchan Bawa and he will marry her. Therefore, under the pretext of marriage, he was exploiting her physically. Thereafter, the

petitioner started demanding money from the complainant. On different occasions ₹50,000, ₹40,000/- and ₹4,00,000/- were given to the petitioner. Thereafter, it is stated that the petitioner also took away one gold chain, weighing 2-½ tolas, three small gold tops, weighing about 1-½ tolas, two pairs of gold ear rings, weighing one tola of the complainant.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has placed reliance upon an earlier complaint (Annexure P-3), wherein the complainant had stated that she had married with Ravi Bawa. It is stated that the compromise was effected between the parties on 18.06.2014 (Annexure P-4).

Prayer has been opposed by the learned State counsel on the ground that during investigation, it came out that Ravi Bawa is not the real name of the petitioner. He has derived this name from his earlier wife Kanchan Bawa, who was also singer. It is also stated that the real name of the petitioner is Mohd. Rafi. The money and gold articles have not been recovered from the petitioner.

Keeping in view the fact that the recovery from the petitioner is yet to be effected, I do not find any ground for granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Dismissed.

February 16, 2015
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE