

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

Crl. Misc. No.M-4370 of 2015

Date of Decision: 12.02.2015

Parveen Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Ravi Malhotra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.88 dated 02.10.2013 registered under Sections 452/323/506/34 IPC at Police Station Lambra, District Jalandhar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the case as there is not only an unexplained delay in lodging of FIR but he was not involved. He further submits that co-accused of the petitioner, namely, Paramjit has already been granted anticipatory bail and the petitioner has wrongly been declared as a proclaimed offender. Learned counsel further submits that the bail application of the petitioner has been declined only on the ground that he did not appear before the Investigating Officer. The petitioner has been declared as a proclaimed offender at his back.

Since the petitioner has been declared as a proclaimed offender, hence, anticipatory bail cannot be granted to him.

The petition is, accordingly, dismissed.

However, in case, the petitioner surrenders before the trial Court and moves an application for regular bail, the trial Court is directed to consider the same in accordance with law within a period of one week from the date of his filing the application.

12.02.2015
gurpreet

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE