

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

SANJIV KUMAR SHARMA  
2015.02.11 16:00  
I attest to the accuracy and  
authenticity of this document

CRM No. M-43820 of 2014 (O/M)  
Date of decision : 10.2.2015

Harpreet Singh Sidhu and another ..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others ..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Atul Goyal, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Nikhil K. Chopra, Deputy A.G., Punjab.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

--

--

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Reply filed by the State is taken on record.

The present petition has been filed for issuing directions to respondents 1 to 3 not harassing the petitioners and protecting their life and liberty as they intend to visit India at their ancestral land.

The reply of the State shows that respondent No. 6 had filed a complaint against the present petitioners, in which inquiry was conducted and that the petitioners had gone back to Canada on 4.2.2015. It being so, no protection to the life and liberty of the petitioners in India is required at this stage.

Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)  
JUDGE

10.2.2015  
sjks