

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-20159 of 2006
Date of Decision : 04.03.2015

Kuldeep Singh

..... Petitioner

vs.

The State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Mr. Jagbir Malik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Shruti Goyal, AAG, Haryana.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Ajay Tewari, J.(Oral)

The claim in the present petition is for grant of pensionary benefits.

Admittedly, the petitioner had submitted his resignation after completing 14 years and 19 days of service and has now laid a claim for pension.

At the very outset, learned Assistant Advocate General, states that the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Ghanshyam Dass Relhan vs. State of Haryana and others, 2009(14) SCC 506*, has held that such an employee would not be entitled to any pension.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is not in a position to cite any contrary judgment.

In these circumstances, the present writ petition is dismissed.

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**

March 04, 2015

m.sharma/pooja sharma-I