

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-43698 of 2014
Date of Decision: 23.04.2015

JagpalPetitioner

Versus

State of HaryanaRespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SNEH PRASHAR

Present: Mr. K.P.S. Virk, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Trishanjali Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

Ms. Paramjit Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Bhupinder Ghai, Advocate
for the complainant.

SNEH PRASHAR, J.

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (Cr.P.C.) for grant of concession of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 107 dated 09.05.2014, under Sections 323, 506, 325, 307, 216 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code registered at Police Station, PGIMS Rohtak, District Rohtak.

Heard the submissions made by Mr. K.P.S. Virk, Advocate representing the petitioner, Ms. Trishanjali, AAG, Haryana representing the State and Ms. Paramjit Kaur, Advocate representing the complainant.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there are three accused. The other two co-accused namely Basant Raj and Narender had been granted anticipatory bail by this Court vide order

dated 28.10.2014 passed in CRM-M-31172 of 2014.

On the other hand, learned counsel representing the State opposes the prayer and submits that the main injury is alleged to have been caused by the petitioner.

The petitioner is in custody since 05.09.2014.

The trial is still likely to take some time. In view of aforesaid facts and circumstances and least any expression above may prejudice the case of either side and there being no plausible ground to detain the petitioner in custody, he is admitted to bail, subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds, to the satisfaction of Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, Rohtak.

April 23, 2015
rashmi

(SNEH PRASHAR)
JUDGE