

108

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.R.M-M No.43577 of 2015
Date of Decision : 23.12.2015**

Komal and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. M.S. Sodhi, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. G.S. Chahal, APP for the U.T. Chandigarh.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. is for issuance of a direction to the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and not to harass them at the instance of respondent Nos.4 to 10.

On 22.12.2015 the following order was passed:-

“The prayer in the present petition filed under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. is for issuance of a direction to the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and not to harass them at the instance of respondent Nos.4 to 10 as they have got married against the wishes of their parents.

It is stated that the petitioners had reached Chandigarh but the parents of petitioner No.1 are present in the Court Complex with about 20-25 persons and the petitioners are afraid to come to the Court.

He further states that if they are given some interim protection the petitioners would be able to appear before this Court.

In these circumstances I deem it appropriate to implead the Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh as respondent No.11. Registry to carry out necessary correction in the memo of parties.

Notice of motion to the added respondent i.e. respondent No.11.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. G.S.Chahal, APP for UT, Chandigarh accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.11.

Learned counsel for the petitioners undertakes to supply a copy of the petition to the learned APP during the course of the day.

It is directed that in case the petitioners approach any police station in Chandigarh they may be given security to appear in the Court tomorrow.

Adjourned to 23.12.2015.

A copy of this order be handed over dasti to learned APP for UT under the signatures of the Bench Secretary.”

Today Mr. G.S. Chahal, APP, U.T. Chandigarh states that the petitioners did not approach the Police Station, Sector 3, Chandigarh. However, they are present today before this Court.

In the circumstances, the petition is disposed of qua respondent No.11 as having been rendered infructuous.

The petitioners have got married against the wishes of their

parents. They are stated to be major. In support of her proof of age petitioner No.1 has placed on record a copy of Aadhar Card (Annexure P-1) wherein her year of birth is mentioned as 1990 whereas petitioner No.2 has also placed on record a copy of Aadhar Card (Annexure P-2) depicting his year of birth as 1989. They have also moved a representation before the Senior Superintendent of Police, Pathankot (Annexure P-5) for protection of their life and liberty.

Without adverting to the merits of the controversy, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Pathankot to take appropriate action on the said representation (Annexure P-5).

Since the main case has been decided, the pending Criminal Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

December 23, 2015
ashish

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE