

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 43680 of 2014(O &M)

Date of Decision :05.02.2015

Satinder Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Ritesh Pandey, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Amarjit Kaur Khurana, Addl.AG, Punjab.

- i. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
- ii. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
- iii. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This is a petition for anticipatory bail filed in case bearing FIR

No. 278 dated 26.11.2011, under Sections 323, 324, 326, 34 IPC, registered
at Police Station Civil Lines Batala, District Gurdaspur.

On 22.12.2014 the following contention was noticed:-

“Learned counsel has argued that originally Section 326 IPC was not invoked and the petitioner was arrested and bailed out but subsequently Section 326 IPC was invoked and that injury was not attributed to the petitioner.”

Learned Addl. AG, on instructions from ASI Ashok Kumar, has

accepted the factual assertion.

In these circumstances I see no reason to deny the benefit of anticipatory bail to the present petitioner.

In the event of his arrest, the petitioner shall be released on bail to the satisfaction of the investigating officer subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Petition stands disposed of.

Since the main case has been decided, the Criminal Misc.Application, if any also stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

February 05, 2015
sunita