

202

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-6440 of 2013 (O&M)

Date of Decision: January 12, 2015.

Joginder Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SABINA

Present: Mr. Rohan Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Dimple Jain, AAG Haryana.

Mr. Shamsher Singh, Advocate
for the complainant.

SABINA, J.

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 438 of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.1511 dated 23.11.2012, under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 406 of Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station City Panipat, District Panipat.

On 04.03.2013, following order was passed by this Court:

“Petitioner seeks the concession of pre-arrest bail in a case registered at the instance of Subhash Chand alleging that the petitioner had duped him of a sum of Rs.65000/- with a promise that his company would return a sum of Rs.6500/- per month with additional financial benefits. The

petitioner alongwith his other associates duped a number of people.

Let the petitioner join investigation on March 9, 2013 between 10.00 a.m. to 4.00 p.m. and in case of his doing so, he will be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the arresting officer. The detailed information regarding the money received by the petitioner will be furnished to the investigating agency.

Adjourned to March 22, 2013, for arguments.”

On the last date of hearing, petitioner was directed to furnish entire details of payments made by him to the Investigating Officer on or before 19.12.2014. Thereafter, the investigating officer was to verify the details and submit the report.

Learned State counsel who is assisted by Assistant Sub Inspector Subhash has submitted that, although, petitioner has joined investigation, but he has failed to furnish the affidavit in terms of the last order and about ₹ One crore is to be recovered from the petitioner.

In view of the submissions made by learned State counsel, no ground for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, is made out.

Dismissed.

**(SABINA)
JUDGE**

January 12, 2015
m.singh