

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-43672-2014 (O&M)

Date of decision : 27.02.2015

Ravinder

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. N.S. Shekhawat, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG, Haryana,
assisted by ASI Kuldeep Singh.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

This petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure is for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.415 dated 07.11.2012, registered under Sections 148, 149, 302, 216, 201, 120-B of the Indian Penal Code and Section 25 of the Arms Act, at Police Station Mahendergarh.

It is contended that the petitioner is not named in the FIR. He has been implicated on the disclosure statement of co-accused, Dharambir @ Tiger, to the effect that he had borrowed the pick-up vehicle from the petitioner on 07.11.2012, which was subsequently

used in the crime. Apart from this, no role has been ascribed to the petitioner by the complainant in his testimony before the trial Court as well. Co-accused, Dharambir @ Tiger has already been allowed the concession of bail (Annexure P-2). The petitioner is in custody since 21.11.2012.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel, on instructions, states that the vehicle owned by the petitioner was used in the crime. Out of thirty eight prosecution witnesses, twenty seven have already been examined, whereas, seven have been given up. Only four witnesses remain to be examined, thus, the trial is at the fag end.

Heard.

Keeping in view the gravity of the offence and the stage of trial, no case for grant of bail is made out, at this stage.

Dismissed.

27.02.2015

atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE