

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

.....

Criminal Misc. No.M-43656 of 2014

.....

Date of decision:27.2.2015

Surren Uppal

.....Petitioner

v.

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

....

Present: Mr. Manoj Kaushik, Advocate for Mr. Ashish K. Gupta,
Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amarinder Singh Klar, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent-State.

Mr. Vaneet Kumar, Advocate for complainant-respondent No.2.

.....

Inderjit Singh, J.

This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. praying for quashing of FIR No.57 dated 28.2.2012 (Annexure-P.1) registered for the offences under Sections 201, 379 and 427 IPC at Police Station Phase I, Mohali, District S.A.S. Nagar (Mohali) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise (Annexure-P.2).

The FIR has been registered on the statement of complainant-Capt. Joginder Singh on the allegations that the accused-petitioner secretly removed electrical cables and fittings from complainant's factory, the portion of which was given to him on rent without paying rent, and even took the glasses of the door, wood partition besides, causing damage to the flooring and walls. Now with the intervention of respectable persons, the matter has been amicably settled between the parties and they have entered

into a compromise and resolved their disputes and differences.

Keeping in view the fact that the parties have entered into a compromise, they were directed to appear before learned trial Court for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. After doing the needful, learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, S.A.S. Nagar (Mohali) has sent her report dated 21.2.2015 submitting that the compromise arrived at between the parties is without any pressure or coercion from any one and the same is genuine one.

Learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, on instructions from the Investigating Officer and learned counsel for the complainant-respondent No.2 admit the factum of compromise and submit that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, the State would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab and learned counsel for complainant-respondent No.2 and have gone through the record.

In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak.

Therefore, keeping in view the fact that the matter has been amicably settled and in view of the law laid by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another, 2012 (4) RCR (Cr.) 543, this petition is allowed and FIR No.57 dated 28.2.2012 (Annexure-P.1) registered for the offences under Sections 201, 379 and 427 IPC at Police Station Phase I, Mohali, District S.A.S. Nagar (Mohali) and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are hereby quashed.

February 27, 2015.

(Inderjit Singh)
Judge

hsp