

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc. No. M-43494 of 2015  
Date of Decision:-22.12.2015**

Sunil Kumar and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA**

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- Mr. Gaurav Mohunta, Advocate with  
Mr. Gaurav Gogna, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

**HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)**

Petitioners have approached this Court under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.615 dated 02.12.2015, under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC read with Section 13(1) (D) of the Prevention of Corruption Act, registered at Police Station Ganaur, District Sonapat.

On an query put to learned counsel for the petitioners regarding maintainability of the present petition, particularly when the petitioners have withdrawn their anticipatory bail application from the Court of the Additional Sessions Judge, Sonapat on 11.12.2015, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the police has given an impression

to the Court that the petitioners have withdrawn the bail application as the prosecution has not filed the police report. It is only after the arrest of other co-accused, the petitioners have approached this Court for the relief of anticipatory bail.

Heard.

I have perused the order dated 11.12.2015 passed by the Additional Sessions Judge, Sonapat (Annexure P-5), from which, it transpires that the said bail application was not decided on merits rather was withdrawn by the petitioners. Therefore, the petitioners are at liberty to approach the Sessions Court/Additional Sessions Court for the relief of anticipatory bail.

Disposed of with the liberty aforesaid.

December 22, 2015  
*Vijay Asija*

( *HARI PAL VERMA* )  
**JUDGE**