

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.43603 of 2014

Date of Decision: 26.03.2015

RIMPI & ANOTHER

.....Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. S.K. Rana, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Pardeep Prakash Chahar, D.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Manoj K. Tanwar, Advocate
for respondents No.4, 5 and 7.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Petitioners have filed this petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect their life and liberty from the hands of respondents No.4 to 8.

On 19.12.2014, this Court passed the following order:-

“Notice of motion for 26.03.2014.

In the meantime, liberty is granted to the petitioners to approach respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Karnal (Haryana) for

CRM-M No.43603 of 2014

protection, as per the instructions dated 21.02.2011 issued by the Home Department, Haryana, who will look into their representation dated 18.12.2014 (Annexure P-6)”

Today, learned counsel for the petitioners states that the petitioners could not approach the respondent No.2 due to some misconception and prayed for some date to appear before respondent No.2 again.

Mr. Manoj K. Tanwar, Advocate appears on behalf of respondents No.4, 5 and 7 and apprised the Court that previous husband of petitioner No.1 namely; Satish Kumar was not having any knowledge of pendency of divorce petition as the petitioner No.1 was living with him at that time. Decree of divorce is claimed to be fraudulent.

Looking to the allegations and counter allegations, petitioners are directed to appear before respondent No.2 and make representation in compliance to order dated 19.12.2014. Thereafter, respondent No.2 would be obligated to look into the grievance of the petitioners and pass necessary orders as required in accordance with law.

Petition stands disposed accordingly.

26.03.2015
sachin

[RAJ MOHAN SINGH]
JUDGE