

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.43593 of 2014

Date of Decision: 31.08.2015

Daljeet Kaur @ Diljit Kaur . . . Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & another . . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present: Mr. Sandeep Jasuja, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Mikhail Kaid, AAG, Punjab with HC Gurdeep Singh.

Mr. Baljit Singh Aliana, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

JASWANT SINGH, J. (Oral)

The mother in law of respondent No.2 has invoked the jurisdiction seeking quashing of FIR No.92 dated 03.09.2014, registered under Section 498-A, 34 IPC at Police Station Arniwala, Tehsil and District Fazilika.

It is averred that FIR deserves to be quashed since the allegations are extremely vague and made only for roping in family members of the husband.

Upon notice, complainant and State have filed their separate replies.

From the reply of DSP, Sub Division, Fazilika, filed on behalf of the respondent-State, it is apparent that after submission of challan, the charge has been framed on 27.01.2015 by the Court of learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Fazilika and the prosecution evidence has commenced.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, in the light of the fact that now the charge has been framed and the stage is of recording the evidence, he restricts his prayer for early conclusion of the trial as also sympathetic consideration on exemption from personal appearance on behalf of the petitioner during the trial.

The prayer is reasonable, therefore, liable to be accepted.

Accordingly, present petition is disposed of as infructuous. However, learned trial Court is directed to sympathetically consider the request of the petitioner for exemption from personal appearance subject to usual terms and conditions while also making sincere efforts to conclude the trial at the earliest.

[JASWANT SINGH]
JUDGE

31.08.2015
sachin