

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

Crl. Misc. No.M-43540 of 2014

Date of Decision: 22.01.2015

Aman Aggarwal

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

- 1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
- 2. To be referred to reporters or not ?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?**

Present:- Mr. Ashish Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C for issuance of direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and also for issuance of direction to respondent No.2 to carry out fair and impartial investigation.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner issued a cheque to respondent No.4 and an assurance was given by respondent No.4 to deliver an embroidery machine. He further submits that neither the machine has been delivered nor the advance amount paid by the petitioner has been returned to him. Learned counsel also submits that the receipt, issued in lieu of said amount, is also bogus. He further submits that the petitioner was not only harassed but injuries were also given to him.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is not in a position to show as to whether any injury was caused to the petitioner or not. Even no terms and conditions of the agreement have been brought on record. It appears from the allegations in the petition that the matter relates to civil dispute.

In view of the above, no direction can be issued in absence of any document on record as neither the terms and conditions of the agreement nor any other document, in respect thereof, has been placed on record.

The petition is dismissed.

However, the petitioner is at liberty to avail appropriate remedy.

22.01.2015
gurpreet

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE