

202 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No.M-43530 of 2014

Date of Decision: January 05, 2015

Lakhbir Singh

.... Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Amit Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Nikhil K. Chopra, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?

2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?

3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

The allegations levelled against the petitioner are that he accepted ₹9,00,000/- from the complainant for sending his (complainant's) son to England. The promise was not kept, instead the son of the complainant was first dropped at Thailand, then he was sent to Macau and then dropped at Hongkong. The petitioner was arrested on 04.11.2014. In this case, the offences are under Sections 420 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and the challan is to be presented within sixty days. The period of sixty days had already expired, but till date the challan has not been presented. Therefore, even under Section 167(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, the petitioner is entitled to bail as a matter of right.

It being so, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing personal bond in the sum of ₹1,00,000/- with one surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, Tarn Taran, subject to such conditions as may be imposed.

Petition stands allowed.

January 05, 2015
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE