

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-43511 of 2014
Date of decision : April 06, 2015

Mandeep Madaan

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Sanjeev Patiyl, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. V.P.S. Sidhu, AAG Punjab

Mr. N.K. Manchanda, Advocate for the complainant

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that inadvertently in the head note Section 307 IPC is mentioned instead of Section 306 IPC and the same may be corrected.

Ordered accordingly.

Learned State counsel on instructions from SI Gurminder submits that pursuant to the interim order dated 22.12.2014, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Since the petitioner has joined the investigation, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 22.12.2014 is hereby made absolute subject to the condition that the petitioner will join the investigation as and when required by the police and will not tamper with evidence or hamper the investigation and will not leave India without permission of the Court and will comply with the conditions contained in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

April 06, 2015

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE