

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

1.

CRM-M-6176-2013**Date of decision: November 20, 2015**

Gurdev Singh

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

The State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

2.

CRM-M-6316-2013**Date of decision: November 20, 2015**

Sukhbir Singh and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

The State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SABINA**Present:** Mr. Satbir Gill, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. R.P.S. Sidhu, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate
for respondent No.2.**SABINA, J**

Petitioners have filed this petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for quashing of F.I.R. No.158, dated 14.08.2012 registered under Sections 363 and 366 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('IPC' for short) at Police Station Goindwal Sahib, Distt. Tarn Taran and all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that petitioner-Gurdev Singh has performed marriage with Pushpanjali, daughter of respondent No.2 against the wishes of her family members. Due to this reason, FIR in question was registered against the petitioners. Petitioner Gurdev Singh and Pushpanjali had filed **CRM-M-29180-2012** in this Court seeking protection of their life and liberty. The said petition was disposed of vide order dated 19.09.2012. Thereafter, respondent No.2 filed a petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of writ in the nature of habeas corpus for releasing Pushpanjali from the illegal custody of respondent No.3. However, during the course of the said petition, Pushpanjali appeared in the Court and stated that she wanted to live with her husband-Gurdev Singh as she had solemnized marriage with him and did not want to join her family. The said petition was dismissed.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 has submitted that respondent No.2 has no objection if the FIR in question is ordered to be quashed as now respondent No.2 has accepted the factum of marriage performed by his daughter with petitioner-Gurdev Singh.

It has also transpired during the course of arguments that petitioner-Gurdev Singh and Pushpanjali, daughter of respondent No.2 have been blessed with a son out of their wedlock.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of this case, no useful purpose would be served by allowing the criminal

proceedings to continue.

Accordingly, both the petitions are allowed. F.I.R. No.158, dated 14.08.2012 registered under Sections 363 and 366 of the Indian Penal Code,1860 ('IPC' for short) at Police Station Goindwal Sahib, Distt. Tarn Taran and all the subsequent proceedings, arising therefrom, are quashed.

November 20, 2015

ps-I

**(SABINA)
JUDGE**