

109

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.R.M-M No.43233 of 2015
Date of Decision : 21.12.2015**

Abhay Chatley and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Dhawal Bhandari, Advocate
for the petitioners.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

At the very outset, learned counsel states that inadvertently the Senior Superintendent of Police, Tehsil Khanna, District Ludhiana has not been impleaded as a party and the representation has inadvertently been sent to the Superintendent of Police, Tehsil Khanna, District Ludhiana whereas it should have been sent to the Sr. Superintendent of Police and further prays that direction be issued to the Sr. Superintendent of Police to treat this petition as a representation and to take appropriate action thereon.

I find this to be a fair request. On the oral request of the learned counsel, the Senior Superintendent of Police, Tehsil Khanna, District Ludhiana, Punjab is impleaded as respondent No.2 and the registry

is directed to make necessary correction in the array of parties.

Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. is for issuance of a direction to the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and not to harass them at the instance of respondent Nos.4 to 7.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Ashish Sanghi, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has supplied a copy of the petition to the learned DAG today in Court itself.

Learned DAG is directed to forward it to the newly added respondent No.2.

The petitioners have got married against the wishes of their parents. They are stated to be major. In support of his proof of age petitioner No.1 has placed on record a copy of Senior Secondary School Examination Certificate (Annexure P-1(Colly)) wherein his date of birth is mentioned as 11.12.1994 whereas petitioner No.2 has placed on record a copy of Voter Identity Card (Annexure P-2(Colly)) depicting her date of birth as 17.04.1996.

Without advertting to the merits of the controversy, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2, the Senior Superintendent of Police, Tehsil Khanna, District Ludhiana, to treat this petition as representation and take appropriate action on it.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending Criminal

Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

A copy of this order be given to the learned counsel for the petitioners as well as to the learned Deputy Advocate General under the signatures of the Bench Secretary.

December 21, 2015
ashish

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE