

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Misc. No. M-43186 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of decision : 07.09.2015**

Balwinder Singh **... Petitioner**

versus

Ken Infra Ltd. etc.

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Petitioner in person with Mr. M.K. Singla, Advocate

Mr. Varun Jain, Advocate for respondents No.1 to 4.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J(ORAL)

A perusal of order dated 06.08.2015 reveals that inadvertently instead of petitioner, respondents No.1 to 4 had been mentioned. In the instant case, complaint under Section 138 of Negotiable Instruments Act was filed by the petitioner against the respondents for dishonour of cheque.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that he had received the draft and has received Rs.10,000/- more which was directed on the last date of hearing.

Learned counsel for respondents states that since the matter has been settled, the petitioner should withdraw the complaint.

A compromise had been effected by the parties. Complainant has received the cheque amount and Rs.10,000/- over and above the cheque amount. He undertakes that he would withdraw the complaint pending before the Court below.

In view of the compromise and undertaking given, the instant petition has become infructuous and disposed of as such.

September 07, 2015

Jiten

**(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE**