

113 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No.M-430 of 2015

Date of Decision: January 07, 2015

Smt. Savita Ohri and another Petitioners

vs.

State of Punjab and others Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. K.S. Dadwal, Advocate for the petitioners.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that on the complaint of the present petitioner, an inquiry was held. In the inquiry, it was found that no offence was made out. It is stated that now on the repeated complaints by the opposite party, another inquiry is going to be held which is barred as per the instructions dated 01.04.2008 issued by the Director General of Police, Punjab. It has been argued that only the Director General of Police, Punjab or court could pass order for the second inquiry.s

In view of the matter, the petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to make a representation to the Director General of Police, Punjab detailing his grievances. The Director General of Police, Punjab shall look into the grievances of the petitioner and dispose of the same within thirty days by passing a

speaking order, keeping in view the instructions issued from time to time and the case law on the point.

It is further stated that the petitioners being ladies are being called to the police station.

In these circumstances, it is ordered that till the conclusion of the representation by the Director General of Police, Punjab, the petitioners shall not be called to the police station.

January 07, 2015
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE