

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

211

CRM-M No.43053 of 2014

Date of Decision:28.01.2015

Vatanveer Singh @ Wattanveer Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MEHINDER SINGH SULLAR.

Present: Mr.Gopal Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr.Jaspreet Singh Sekhon, Assistant Advocate General,
Punjab, for the respondent-State.

MEHINDER SINGH SULLAR, J.(oral)

As is evident from the record that, the following order was passed in the earlier petition for regular bail bearing CRM No.M-16043 of 2014 filed by the petitioner in this very case on July 14, 2014 by this Court:-

“At the very outset, learned State Counsel has submitted the status report, which would go to show that the petitioner is a habitual offender and is also involved in following other criminal cases:-

<i>S.No.</i>	<i>FIR No.</i>	<i>u/s</i>	<i>Police Station</i>
<i>1.</i>	<i>52 dated 24.05.2012</i>	<i>21 of the NDPS Act</i>	<i>Sirhind</i>
<i>2.</i>	<i>158 dated 02.10.2013</i>	<i>382 IPC</i>	<i>Sirhind</i>
<i>3.</i>	<i>164 dated 07.10.2013</i>	<i>22 of the NDPS Act</i>	<i>Sirhind</i>
<i>4.</i>	<i>82 dated 10.09.2013</i>	<i>382, 34 IPC</i>	<i>Bhadso, Distt.Patiala.</i>

Faced with the situation, learned counsel for the petitioner intends to withdraw the instant petition, at this stage.

Dismissed as withdrawn, as prayed for. ”

Now, the petitioner has again preferred the present second petition for regular bail.

After arguing the matter for some time, when this Court was not inclined to entertain the instant second petition for regular bail in the case of pointed grave and heinous offences punishable under Sections 399/402 IPC etc., then learned counsel for the petitioner again intended to withdraw the instant petition, at this stage.

Dismissed as withdrawn, as prayed for.

January 28, 2015
seema

(MEHINDER SINGH SULLAR)
JUDGE